Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/759,016	PARKS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jonathan Ouellette	3629

Interview Summary	·		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Jonathan Ouellette</u> .	(3) <u>Russell E. Parks</u> .		
(2) <u>C. Lamont Whitman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 March 2003.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference			
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	ə]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,4 and 5</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Puram et al. (US 6,289,340).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Whitman, Mr. Parks, and Examiner Ouellette discussed different possible ways of narrowing the independent claims to increase chances of patentability suchs as: detailing the Skills Matching system and method for dealing with contracted suppliers			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	eed would render the clair ould render the claims	ms
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AN INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements.	last Office action has already ILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SUBSTANCE OF THE	3

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required